
AL B Α Μ Π Η S Т R H SLT D J D L B S F D J S N **ODE** Μ Н

Sheffi**eld Tranine Hospitals**




NHS Foundation Trusts.




D

Η








'What are the approaches to dysphagia management in care homes and what is the effectiveness of different approaches?'

S

Results



F /

A

Method

B F

D

Themes

Μ

R

Ρ

l T O S (

,


E& D &



plate; it must look presentable and nice because

we do train people to be aware of these things. F








It's more about giving them the time to go and do M : I


to actually stop and do a blending from start to




H D










,

52 E



•

5 X2 2

•

Evaluation tools

4

l Q L

,

Α

P : 9 M

:


- M 2
- F (E (22
- A 0
- A 50

Q

Participants 57; Returned Questionnaires 36

Gender and age

Female 86%



Male 14%

Role

M 26;N; H A 4;K ;O 2

Experience

Q			2 L		
D	7	,		,	,
	Positive			Negative	
	Impact			Accessibility	25
	Usability 90				
	Design 9				
	Videos 0				

Q F

Reflected the results from the Likert data Identified participants liked the content, design and usability

Qu. What did you like best about the guide?

Easy to use. Information was clear and easy to understand. It had really good information and ideas in it. (Manager)

Easy to access the guide on which bit was needed (HCA)

There was enough information to explain dysphagia and videos were a big help (Health Care Assistant)

I liked every aspect of the guide, as it was easy to understand and clear. (Kitchen assistant)

It was easy to find the information I required and had videos if I was unsure of a texture (cook)

Future Plans

A ALL P

Recent publication

P, S, B, E, S, J, JA, A., FD, S (20 9 TDevelopment of a Digital Dysphagia Guide with Care Homes: Co-production and Evaluation of
a Nutrition Support Tool. Geriatrics, 4(, 4 : _____: 0. 90 40 004

S